Roads to Survival: an Atlas

Evaluating Six Scenarios for EMU's Survival



Bondia 13 🗇 Constant Draghia 12 Austeria 11 Europhilia 20 \uparrow Inflationia 30 \rightarrow

Krugmania 25 🐬





Contributors

ING Economics Department Mark Cliffe – ING Group Chief Economist

Dimitry Fleming – Senior Economist Fabienne Fortanier – Senior Economist Martin van Vliet – Senior Economist Peter Vanden houte – Chief Economist Belgium Philippe Ledent – Economist

With thanks to Wendi Fang

Contents

Introduction	2
Summary and conclusion: cross-country comparison	6
Annex A - Detailed country results	19
Annex B - Methodology	42



Introduction



Eurozone politicians are under pressure to move beyond short term crisis measures to deliver a convincing long term plan for EMU. This is something that has been noticeably lacking so far, which is hardly surprising given the lack of unanimity over the final destination let alone the route towards it.

In our recent report *Roads to Survival – How EMU Break-up may be avoided* (June 5th 2012) we stepped back from the politics and argued that potential roads to survival do exist. These could be built on three dimensions: reform, reflation and distribution. We combined a selection of policy combinations along these dimensions into six scenarios in order to evaluate their impact.

In this follow-up report we present a more detailed, quantified evaluation of these scenarios. We detail the policy assumptions and describe their consequences for 6 key Eurozone countries – Germany, France, the Netherlands, Spain, Italy and Greece. We assess the costs and benefits of each scenario in terms of GDP and employment, and highlights the key channels through which these occur: inflation, the current account, the public debt/GDP ratio and bond yields.

In the following pages, we first outline the scenarios and summarise the impact on the main macro and market variables, before presenting the detailed information by country.

Don't believe everything a model tells you



- Economic forecasting has also fallen victim to the crisis
- Conventional macro-economic models are not well suited to forecasting in this environment
- They are reasonably good at tracking the evolution of spending and inflation in the real economy...
- ...but are poor at dealing with
 - Supply-side shocks
 - The financial sector
 - Asset prices
 - Balance sheet effects
- Moreover, since models are based on past experience, they are by definition ill-equipped to deal with unprecedented changes
- Forecasting is always an art, but this project has had to be more artistic than most...
- ...involving judgements based on research of a number of leading large scale econometric models, as well the findings of in the research literature on the policy options considered (including academic papers and work by the OECD, IMF and ECB).



Six Scenarios for Survival Summary

For further details, see our report 'Roads to Survival: How EMU break-up could be avoided' 5th June 2012, pages 20-26.

Austeria	Draghia (banking union)
 Tough implementation of fiscal austerity Labour market and other reforms in the periphery ('internal devaluation') Subdued growth, high unemployment: political & fiscal sustainability questionable (and therefore high risk of defaults) 	 Fiscal austerity cushioned by loosening monetary policy and bank recapitalisation Euro-wide DGS, supervision, resolution schemes Stronger growth, but still fiscal tightening: fiscal sustainability remains questionable
Bondia (funding union)	Europhilia (from transfer union to fiscal union)
 Funding union: common issuance of Eurobonds (fiscal compact is pre-requisit) Strong bond yield convergence; no need for QE Growth boost in periphery (partly at expense of core) 	 Explicit fiscal transfers from core to periphery Adherence to fiscal ceilings and structural reforms implementation of fiscal austerity
Inflationia (aka Outer Draghia)	Krugmania
Radical monetary easing: aggressive QE and euro depreciation	 Fiscal stimulus / bigger government, relaxed attitude about inflation (in short run) with long term credible commitment to long term fiscal consolidation



Six Survival Scenarios – the policy mixes

The scenarios involve different combinations of policies:

			Scenario)S		
Policy measures	Austeria	Draghia	Bondia	Europhilia	Inflationia	Krugmania
Reform - Product/labour market					\bigcirc	
- Finance/ funding	\bigcirc		•			
Reflation - monetary policy: QE	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
- monetary policy: rate cuts			\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
- € depreciation	L					
- fiscal loosening				\bigcirc	•	
Redistribution - fiscal transfer	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
○=not applicable ●=applicable ● =partly a	applicable 🛛 🖉 =aj	oplicable <i>in r</i>	everse			

Summary and conclusions cross-country comparison

Notes on the simulations:

• The projections featured in this report are meant to illustrate the broad impact of the scenarios, and are therefore not intended as time-specific forecasts. In reality the timing and scale of the policy changes could be substantially different from those assumed here. As we discuss in the report, the unprecedented nature of some of the policies mean that assessment is subject to unusually large margins of error.

• Data for '2012' illustrate the full first year effect of the policy changes in each scenario.

• For the purposes of summary illustration data for the 'core' countries represents the GDP weighted average of the impact on Germany, France, the Netherlands and Belgium, while the 'periphery' is a GDP weighted average of Italy, Spain and Greece. For detailed country results, please see Annex A.

Growth benefits, except in Austeria

YoY%	EZ					Core			Peripheral						
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Base	-0.3	0.7	1.3	1.4	1.4	0.4	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.4	-1.7	-0.2	1.0	1.1	1.3
Austeria	-0.9	-0.4	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.1	0.3	1.1	1.2	1.1	-2.7	-1.8	0.3	0.7	1.1
Draghia	0.1	0.3	1.4	1.5	1.5	0.9	0.9	1.6	1.6	1.4	-1.6	-0.8	1.1	1.5	1.7
Bondia	0.1	0.3	1.5	1.6	1.5	0.6	0.7	1.5	1.5	1.4	-1.0	-0.3	1.5	1.7	1.7
Europhilia	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.4	0.9	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.6	0.6	1.7	1.6	1.7
Inflationia	2.2	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.3	2.3	2.5	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.5	0.8
Krugmania	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.6	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.4	2.4	1.2	1.8	1.6	1.6



• The Austeria scenario depresses activity in the short term. The others boost GDP, with the biggest gains in the *Krugmania* and *Inflationia* scenarios

 Reflation and euro depreciation lift activity generally, while transfers benefit the periphery

 Sustained stimulus is required to maintain the growth advantage of the more reflationary scenarios in the long term

• The initially adverse effects of labour and product market reform are turned in sustained gains to output growth in the longer term...

 ...nevertheless, the Austeria scenario fails to make up for lost ground relative to other scenarios

Unemployment – a long haul

%			EZ					Core				Pe	eripher	al	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Base	10.5	10.7	10.7	10.6	10.5	7.4	7.4	7.3	7.1	7.0	16.5	17.3	17.4	17.4	17.3
Austeria	10.7	11.3	11.4	11.4	11.4	7.5	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.6	17.0	18.3	18.6	18.7	18.7
Draghia	10.4	10.8	10.7	10.6	10.4	7.3	7.4	7.2	7.0	6.9	16.5	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.3
Bondia	10.4	10.7	10.6	10.5	10.3	7.4	7.5	7.4	7.2	7.1	16.4	16.9	16.9	16.8	16.6
Europhilia	10.2	10.3	10.1	10.0	9.8	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.0	6.9	15.9	16.1	15.9	15.8	15.6
Inflationia	10.0	9.7	9.4	9.3	9.1	7.1	6.7	6.4	6.3	6.1	15.7	15.5	15.3	15.2	15.1
Krugmania	a 10.1	9.9	9.7	9.6	9.5	7.2	7.0	6.8	6.7	6.5	15.7	15.7	15.5	15.4	15.3



•Unemployment falls in all but the *Austeria* scenario, falling relatively quickly in the peripheral economies

•Compared with *Austeria*, *Bondia* reduces the unemployment rate by 0.4% on average after 2 years, and 0.9% after 5 years, with larger falls of 1% and 2% respectively in the periphery...

•...the *Inflationia* scenario sees unemployment fall twice as fast

•Nevertheless, overall unemployment is still close to 10% at the end of the period, implying that more radical policies might be required

Inflation – generally contained

YoY%			EZ					Core			Peripheral				
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Base	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.3	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.4	1.8	1.4	1.6	1.7
Austeria	2.6	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.3	2.9	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.3	2.0	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.2
Draghia	3.4	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.7	3.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.9	1.6	1.6	2.2	2.6
Bondia	2.6	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.7	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.8
Europhilia	2.4	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.4	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.8
Inflationia	4.4	3.2	2.9	3.1	3.4	4.3	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.7	4.7	3.2	2.5	2.7	3.0
Krugmania	3.7	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	3.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.6	2.2	1.7	1.9	2.2



 Inflation remains generally subdued...

 ...and the periphery gradually regains competitiveness with lower inflation than in the core

• Austeria's combination of fiscal restraint and reform results in the lowest inflation, closer to 1% in the longer term

 Monetary ease of *Draghia* pushes inflation higher, exceeding 3% in the short term

•The more aggressively reflationary scenarios push inflation higher still

•The highest rates, in Inflationia, exceed 4%, as the ECB's inflation target is raised



Current Account – Austeria wins...

% of GDP	EZ					Core				Peripheral					
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Base	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.9	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.9	-2.7	-2.0	-1.8	-1.4	-1.1
Austeria	1.1	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	-1.6	-0.1	0.3	0.9	1.5
Draghia	0.7	1.1	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.4	-1.9	-0.7	0.0	0.7	1.3
Bondia	0.5	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.5	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	-2.4	-1.3	-0.8	-0.3	0.1
Europhilia	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	-1.6	-0.8	-0.5	0.0	0.3
Inflationia	0.0	-0.3	-0.1	0.1	0.3	1.2	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	-2.3	-2.1	-1.8	-1.3	-0.8
Krugmania	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	-1.4	-0.7	-0.3	0.3	0.7



•For the Eurozone as a whole the *Austeria* scenario results in the biggest increase in its current account surplus. After 5 years, the improvement is 0.7% for the core, and 2.3% for the periphery

• This reflects the combined effects of weak demand, euro depreciation and structural reform. However, the improvement comes at the expense of unemployment

•Stronger demand growth, and in some cases inflation, especially in the more reflationary scenarios, leads to less positive outcomes for the current account...

•...but crucially the periphery improves its relative position, with all but the *Inflationia* scenario showing moves back towards balance in the long term.

Consequences for public debt (% of GDP)



Public Debt-to-GDP – Draghia wins...

EZ	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Base	93	94	94	93	92
Austeria	92	93	90	85	78
Draghia	91	90	86	81	74
Bondia	92	91	87	82	75
Europhilia	91	91	90	88	86
Inflationia	89	88	87	87	88
Krugmania	90	89	88	88	87

Core	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Base	83	83	83	81	80
Austeria	82	80	76	70	62
Draghia	81	78	74	68	61
Bondia	82	80	77	72	66
Europhilia	84	84	84	84	84
Inflationia	81	80	81	81	83
Krugmania	83	82	83	83	84

Peripheral	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Base	113	116	117	117	117	
Austeria	113	117	117	115	110	
Draghia	111	113	111	106	99	
Bondia	110	111	107	101	93	
Europhilia	106	105	101	96	91	
Inflationia	105	103	101	99	98	
Krugmania	105	103	99	96	92	
						1

•The *Bondia* and *Draghia* scenarios result in the largest falls in the debt to GDP profiles from a eurozone perspective, to around 75% after 5 years

•...for the core countries, the ratio falls close to 60%

•Fiscal transfers in the *Europhilia-, Inflationia* and *Krugmania* scenarios result in significant relative deterioration in the debt to GDP profiles for the core countries, with average ratios remaining above 80%...

•...while the periphery sees ratios fall to between 90-100%

The debt trajectory for the peripheral countries in the Austeria scenario show no significant decline as weak nominal GDP growth offsets budget cuts, implying that debt relief/default would be likely in the absence of other policy changes

Consequences for bond yields (10 yr yields)





Bond yields - the Great Reconvergence

- Current yield spreads are unsustainable reflecting massive flight to safety from default and EMU break-up risk in the periphery...
- …hence all scenarios show some degree of reconvergence
- The Austeria scenario remains closest to the status quo, but weak growth and failure debt-to-GDP to fall suggests that ultimately default or restructuring may resolve the issue
- In *Draghia*, quantitative easing helps to hold down yields in the short term, but even here, gradual improvement in growth and a reversal of safe haven flows leads to some yield convergence. Core yields head towards 3%, peripheral to 4%
- By assumption, common bonds in *Bondia*, *Europhilia-, Inflationia* and *Krugmania* result in **full** convergence, although spreads on legacy debt would take time to grind lower after an initial 'announcement' rally
- Higher growth, inflation and debt profiles in *Inflationia* and *Krugmania* provide fundamental pressures towards yields of over 4% by the end of the period...
- ...nevertheless, this still provides huge relief to the interest burden on the periphery

Bond yields – core rises, periphery falls

EZ	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Base	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Austeria	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
Draghia	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.4	3.5
Bondia	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.4
Europhilia	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6
Inflationia	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6
Krugmania	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.8	4.1

Core	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Base	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.0	
Austeria	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.3	
Draghia	2.0	2.2	2.6	3.0	3.3	
Bondia	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.4	
Europhilia	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6	
Inflationia	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6	
Krugmania	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.8	4.1	

Periphery	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Base	5.8	5.5	5.2	5.1	4.5
Austeria	6.1	6.2	5.8	5.6	5.0
Draghia	5.1	4.5	4.5	4.4	3.8
Bondia	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.4
Europhilia	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6
Inflationia	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6
Krugmania	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.8	4.1

Scenarios for Eurozone 10 year yields in 2016



EUR/USD exchange rate in the six scenarios



Roads to Survival – not one looks smooth

- Our six scenarios show that EMU can return to a sustainable path.
- Calibrating the impact of the policy changes, particularly in combination, is challenging, given that some are unprecedented and the financial system is both dysfunctional and undergoing enormous structural change. Nevertheless, we believe our estimates to be plausible.
- Growth could be boosted by up to 2% of GDP within two years, raising output by as much as a cumulative 5% within five years. This could reduce unemployment by 1% in the core and 5% in the periphery.
- More aggressively reflationary scenarios such as *Europhilia, Inflationia* and *Krugmania* involve a tolerance of higher inflation and, for the core countries, a slower decline in the level of public debt.
- The benefit to the periphery from common bonds and fiscal transfers is particularly evident in the performance of their debt-to-GDP ratios, leading to a reconvergence with the core countries.
- There is also some rebalancing in current account positions, but the adoption of banking and/or funding union would in any case reduce the challenge of financing imbalances.
- Clearly, the scale and timing of the policy changes is debateable. As our previous report emphasised, the major political barriers could be reduced more quickly by further economic and financial shocks.
- There are many possible routes for the policy journey...

A possible route?



Annex A Detailed country results



Germany – growth vs. debt consolidation

Base (muddle through)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.8	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.3
Unemployment, %	5.6	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.1
CPI, % yoy	2.3	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.8
Current account (% of GDP)	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.1
Debt/GDP	79.9	78.1	76.2	74.5	72.7
Yields (gov 10yr)	1.6	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.6

- Aggressive reflation could lift growth to close to 3% after 2 years, and inflation to 4%.
- But combined with fiscal transfers, this might prevent the public debt-to-GDP ratio from falling to below 60%...
- ...and the current account surplus could fall below 2%

Austeria	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.5	0.6	1.2	1.1	1.0
Unemployment, %	5.7	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.7
CPI, % yoy	2.9	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.3
Current account (% of GDP)	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.0	3.9
Debt/GDP	78.4	74.8	69.5	63.0	55.1
Yields (gov 10yr)	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.9
Draghia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	1.3	1.2	1.7	1.5	1.3
Unemployment, %	5.5	5.5	5.3	5.1	5.0
CPI, % yoy	3.7	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.6
Current account (% of GDP)	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6
Debt/GDP	77.3	73.2	67.7	61.3	54.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	1.4	1.6	2.1	2.5	2.9

Bondia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	1.0	1.0	1.6	1.4	1.3
Unemployment, %	5.6	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.3
CPI, % yoy	2.7	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9
Current account (% of GDP)	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.4
Debt/GDP	78.5	75.4	71.0	65.7	59.4
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.4

Europhilia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.2
Unemployment, %	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.0
CPI, % yoy	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.9
Current account (% of GDP)	2.8	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.5
Debt/GDP	80.4	79.3	78.4	77.8	77.4
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6

Inflationia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.7	2.8	2.1	1.7	1.5
Unemployment, %	5.3	5.0	4.7	4.6	4.5
CPI, % yoy	4.2	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.6
Current account (% of GDP)	3.2	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.1
Debt/GDP	77.9	75.7	74.9	75.3	76.7
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6

Krugmania	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.0	2.3	1.8	1.5	1.3
Unemployment, %	5.4	5.2	5.0	4.9	4.8
CPI, % yoy	3.5	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.5
Current account (% of GDP)	2.9	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.7
Debt/GDP	79.3	77.7	77.1	77.3	78.2
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.8	_ 4.1

Germany: GDP and inflation



ING

Base

- Austeria

Draghia

Bondia

Europhilia

Inflationia

Krugmania

Germany: unemployment, current a/c and debt







2014

2015

2016

Base

Austeria

Draghia

Bondia

Europhilia

- Inflationia

Krugmania

France – slower path to debt sustainability

Base (muddle through)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.3	1.0	1.5	1.6	1.6
Unemployment, %	10.4	10.5	10.4	10.2	10.0
CPI, % yoy	2.2	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0
Current account (% of GDP)	-2.2	-2.0	-1.8	-1.5	-1.1
Debt/GDP	89.3	90.9	91.5	90.9	89.5
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.3	3.4

Austeria	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.0	0.1	1.1	1.3	1.3
Unemployment, %	10.6	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.7
CPI, % yoy	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.8	-1.3	-1.1	-0.8	-0.3
Debt/GDP	87.8	87.6	84.8	79.5	71.9
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.7

Draghia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.8	0.7	1.6	1.7	1.6
Unemployment, %	10.4	10.4	10.2	10.0	9.9
CPI, % yoy	3.6	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.8
Current account (% of GDP)	-2.2	-2.0	-1.6	-1.1	-0.6
Debt/GDP	86.6	85.8	82.7	77.4	70.3
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.6	2.8	3.1	3.5	3.7

Bondia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.6	1.6
Unemployment, %	10.5	10.6	10.5	10.3	10.1
CPI, % yoy	2.6	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.1
Current account (% of GDP)	-2.2	-1.9	-1.6	-1.2	-0.8
Debt/GDP	87.7	87.8	85.5	80.9	74.6
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.4

- France derives less benefit from euro depreciation than does Germany, struggling to hit 2% growth
- Public debt-to-GDP ratio also remains stubbornly high: transfers would keep it above 90%.
- The current account stays in modest deficit

Europhilia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.8	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.5
Unemployment, %	10.3	10.5	10.3	10.1	9.9
CPI, % yoy	2.2	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1
Current account (% of GDP)	-3.4	-3.4	-3.3	-3.0	-2.7
Debt/GDP	89.7	91.7	92.9	93.15	92.67
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6

nflationia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.2	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.8
Unemployment, %	10.0	9.5	9.2	8.9	8.6
CPI, % yoy	4.1	3.0	3.3	3.5	3.8
Current account (% of GDP)	-3.0	-3.3	-2.9	-2.5	-2.1
Debt/GDP	87.1	87.8	89.1	90.2	91.4
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6

Krugmania	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6
Unemployment, %	10.2	10.0	9.7	9.6	9.4
CPI, % yoy	3.4	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7
Current account (% of GDP)	-3.3	-3.5	-3.2	-2.9	-2.5
Debt/GDP	88.5	89.9	91.4	92.4	93.2
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.8	4.1

France: GDP and inflation

GDP (index, 2008=100)





France: unemployment, current a/c and debt



Debt-to-GDP ratio (%)



Current account (% of GDP)



10yr government yield (%)



June 2012

Netherlands – Maastricht, or solidarity?

Base (muddle through)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.0	0.5	0.9	1.4	1.4
Unemployment, %	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.3
CPI, % yoy	2.5	2.6	1.8	1.8	1.7
Current account (% of GDP)	8.2	8.0	7.5	7.0	7.0
Debt/GDP	69.4	70.7	71.6	71.4	70.5
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.8

Austeria	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.3	-0.4	0.5	1.1	1.1
Unemployment, %	5.4	5.8	6.0	6.0	6.0
CPI, % yoy	3.1	2.6	1.5	1.4	1.2
Current account (% of GDP)	8.6	8.7	8.2	7.7	7.8
Debt/GDP	67.9	67.4	65.0	60.0	53.1
Yields (gov 10yr)	1.6	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.1

Draghia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-0.5	0.2	1.0	1.5	1.4
Unemployment, %	5.2	5.6	5.6	5.4	5.3
CPI, % yoy	3.9	3.3	2.4	2.6	2.5
Current account (% of GDP)	8.2	8.0	7.8	7.4	7.5
Debt/GDP	67.0	65.9	63.3	58.4	52.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	1.8	2.1	2.5	2.9	3.1

Bondia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-0.8	0.0	0.9	1.4	1.4
Unemployment, %	5.2	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.4
CPI, % yoy	2.9	2.7	1.9	1.9	1.8
Current account (% of GDP)	8.2	8.1	7.7	7.3	7.3
Debt/GDP	68.0	67.8	66.1	62.1	56.6
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.4

• Even more reflationary scenarios fail to lift growth above 2%, while inflation picks up to as much as 4%.

- Public debt-to-GDP ratio falls below 60%, although scenarios with transfers would leave it in the mid-70s
- The current account surplus generally remains high.

Europhilia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-0.5	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.3
Unemployment, %	5.2	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.3
CPI, % yoy	2.6	2.8	1.9	1.9	1.8
Current account (% of GDP)	7.0	6.6	6.0	5.5	5.4
Debt/GDP	69.9	71.7	73.5	74.3	74.6
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6

nflationia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
		2010	2014	2010	2010
GDP, % yoy	0.9	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.6
Unemployment, %	5.1	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.6
CPI, % yoy	4.5	3.9	3.1	3.3	3.5
Current account (% of GDP)	7.4	6.7	6.4	6.0	6.0
Debt/GDP	67.7	68.5	70.3	72.0	73.9
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6

Krugmania	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.2	1.3	1.1	1.5	1.4
Unemployment, %	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.0	4.9
CPI, % yoy	3.8	3.5	2.5	2.5	2.4
Current account (% of GDP)	7.1	6.5	6.1	5.6	5.6
Debt/GDP	68.9	70.4	72.4	73.9	75.4
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.8	4.1

Netherlands: GDP and inflation





Netherlands: unemployment, current a/c and debt







Debt-to-GDP ratio (%)





Belgium

Base (muddle through)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.4	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.6
Unemployment, %	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.1
CPI, % yoy	2.8	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.0
Current account (% of GDP)	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6
Debt/GDP	98.7	98.2	97.0	94.7	92.2
_Yields (gov 10yr)	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5

Austeria	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.1	0.5	1.2	1.4	1.3
Unemployment, %	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.7	7.7
CPI, % yoy	3.4	2.1	1.6	1.7	1.5
Current account (% of GDP)	0.7	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4
Debt/GDP	97.2	95.0	90.5	83.6	75.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.0	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8

Draghia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.9	1.1	1.7	1.8	1.6
Unemployment, %	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.2	7.1
CPI, % yoy	4.2	2.8	2.5	2.9	2.8
Current account (% of GDP)	0.3	0.5	0.9	1.0	1.1
Debt/GDP	95.8	92.9	87.9	80.7	72.5
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.8

Bondia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.6	0.9	1.6	1.7	1.6
Unemployment, %	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.3
CPI, % yoy	3.2	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.1
Current account (% of GDP)	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.9
Debt/GDP	97.0	94.9	90.6	84.1	76.5
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.4

- More reflationary scenarios push growth above 2%, while inflation picks up to as much as 4½%.
- Public debt-to-GDP ratio falls to the mid-70s although scenarios with transfers would leave it in the mid-90s
- The current account surplus remains close to balance.

Europhilia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	0.9	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5
Unemployment, %	7.5	7.4	7.2	7.1	7.0
CPI, % yoy	2.8	2.3	2.0	2.2	2.1
Current account (% of GDP)	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-1.0
Debt/GDP	98.9	98.6	97.8	96.1	94.3
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6

Inflationia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.3	2.7	2.1	2.0	1.8
Unemployment, %	7.2	6.9	6.7	6.6	6.4
CPI, % yoy	4.7	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.8
Current account (% of GDP)	-0.5	-0.8	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4
Debt/GDP	95.9	94.1	93.2	92.1	91.8
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6

Krugmania	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	1.6	2.2	1.8	1.8	1.6
Unemployment, %	7.3	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.6
CPI, % yoy	4.0	3.0	2.6	2.8	2.7
Current account (% of GDP)	-0.8	-1.0	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8
Debt/GDP	97.5	96.5	95.9	94.8	94.2
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.8	4.1

Belgium: GDP and inflation





Belgium: unemployment, current a/c and debt



Current account (% of GDP)





10yr government yield (%)



Italy – a big beneficiary from debt relief

Base (muddle through)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.6	0.0	1.0	1.2	1.2
Unemployment, %	10.0	10.3	10.1	9.8	9.6
CPI, % yoy	3.0	2.1	1.6	1.7	1.8
Current account (% of GDP)	-2.5	-1.8	-1.6	-1.3	-1.1
Debt/GDP	122.3	122.8	122.5	121.7	120.8
_Yields (gov 10yr)	5.7	5.4	5.0	4.8	4.4

Austeria	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-2.6	-1.6	0.4	0.8	1.0
Unemployment, %	10.2	11.0	11.0	10.9	10.7
CPI, % yoy	2.7	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.3
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.4	0.1	0.5	1.0	1.5
Debt/GDP	122.9	124.9	123.5	120.1	114.8
Yields (gov 10yr)	6.0	6.1	5.6	5.3	4.9

Draghia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.5	-0.7	1.2	1.6	1.6
Unemployment, %	10.0	10.5	10.4	10.2	9.9
CPI, % yoy	3.5	1.9	1.8	2.3	2.6
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.7	-0.5	0.2	0.8	1.3
Debt/GDP	120.5	120.0	116.0	109.8	101.9
Yields (gov 10yr)	5.0	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.0

Bondia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-0.9	-0.2	1.5	1.8	1.6
Unemployment, %	9.9	10.1	9.9	9.6	9.3
CPI, % yoy	2.9	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.9
Current account (% of GDP)	-2.1	-1.1	-0.7	-0.2	0.1
Debt/GDP	119.7	117.5	111.8	104.1	94.9
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.4

- More reflationary scenarios add as much as 3% to growth, although inflation picks up to as much as 5%.
- Public debt-to-GDP ratio falls below 100%, and common bonds result in sharply lower bond yields and debt costs
- · Some scenarios see the current account move into surplus

Europhilia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	1.7	0.8	1.7	1.7	1.6
Unemployment, %	9.7	9.5	9.3	9.1	8.8
CPI, % yoy	3.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.9
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.4	-0.5	-0.3	0.1	0.3
Debt/GDP	115.1	110.9	105.1	98.8	91.9
Yields (gov 10yr)	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6

Inflationia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.2
Unemployment, %	9.6	9.3	9.0	8.7	8.4
CPI, % yoy	5.3	3.5	2.7	2.8	3.0
Current account (% of GDP)	-2.1	-1.9	-1.6	-1.2	-0.8
Debt/GDP	113.8	108.4	104.2	101.0	98.9
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6

Krugmania	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.4	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.5
Unemployment, %	9.6	9.4	9.1	8.9	8.6
CPI, % yoy	4.2	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.2
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.1	-0.4	-0.1	0.3	0.7
Debt/GDP	113.4	108.3	103.0	97.8	92.9
Yields (gov 10yr)	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.8	4.1

Italy: GDP and inflation





Italy: unemployment, current a/c and debt

Unemployment (%)



Current account (% of GDP)



Debt-to-GDP ratio (%)



10yr government yield (%)



Spain – growth boost of up to 31/2%

					2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.3	-0.3	0.9	1.0	1.4
Unemployment, %	24.4	25.7	26.3	26.7	26.7
CPI, % yoy	1.8	1.7	1.2	1.6	1.7
Current account (% of GDP)	-2.3	-1.8	-1.5	-1.0	-0.6
Debt/GDP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Austeria	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-2.3	-1.9	0.3	0.6	1.2
Unemployment, %	25.2	27.2	28.0	28.3	28.5
CPI, % yoy	1.5	0.8	0.5	1.0	1.2
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.2	0.1	0.6	1.3	2.0
Debt/GDP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Draghia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.2	-1.0	1.1	1.4	1.8
Unemployment, %	24.5	26.2	26.5	26.6	26.5
CPI, % yoy	2.3	1.5	1.4	2.2	2.5
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.5	-0.5	0.3	1.1	1.8
Debt/GDP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Bondia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-0.6	-0.5	1.4	1.6	1.8
Unemployment, %	24.2	25.2	25.5	25.6	25.5
CPI, % yoy	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.6	1.8
Current account (% of GDP)	-2.0	-1.1	-0.6	0.1	0.6
Debt/GDP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

- More reflationary scenarios add as much as 3% to growth, although inflation picks up to 4%
- Public debt-to-GDP ratio rises in Austeria, but other scenarios see it fall back towards 80%,
- Current account improves by 2% at most

Europhilia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.0	0.5	1.6	1.5	1.8
Unemployment, %	23.5	24.0	24.0	24.0	23.9
CPI, % yoy	1.8	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.8
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.2	-0.5	-0.2	0.4	0.8
Debt/GDP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Inflationia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.6	1.8	1.7	1.3	1.4
Unemployment, %	23.2	23.1	23.0	23.1	23.2
CPI, % yoy	4.1	3.1	2.3	2.7	2.9
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.9	-1.9	-1.5	-0.9	-0.3
Debt/GDP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Krugmania	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	2.7	1.1	1.7	1.4	1.7
Unemployment, %	23.2	23.4	23.3	23.4	23.4
CPI, % yoy	3.0	2.1	1.6	1.9	2.1
Current account (% of GDP)	-1.0	-0.4	0.0	0.6	1.2
Debt/GDP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



Spain: GDP and inflation





Spain: unemployment, current a/c and debt



Current account (% of GDP)



Debt-to-GDP ratio (%)



10yr government yield (%)



Greece – still a (debt) mountain to climb

Base (muddle through)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-5.1	-0.8	1.2	1.5	1.7
Unemployment, %	23.0	24.0	24.1	24.1	24.0
CPI, % yoy	0.9	0.1	0.3	0.7	1.0
Current account (% of GDP)	-7.2	-5.8	-5.0	-4.6	-4.2
Debt/GDP	179.1	185.0	185.6	184.7	182.3
Yields (gov 10yr)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

Austeria	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-6.1	-2.4	0.6	1.1	1.5
Unemployment, %	23.8	25.2	25.7	25.9	26.0
CPI, % yoy	0.6	-0.8	-0.4	0.1	0.5
Current account (% of GDP)	-6.1	-3.9	-2.9	-2.3	-1.6
Debt/GDP	180.5	189.1	189.0	185.4	178.5
Yields (gov 10yr)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

Draghia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-5.0	-1.5	1.4	1.9	2.1
Unemployment, %	23.0	24.2	24.2	24.1	23.8
CPI, % yoy	1.4	-0.1	0.5	1.3	1.8
Current account (% of GDP)	-6.4	-4.5	-3.3	-2.6	-1.9
Debt/GDP	177.1	182.8	179.8	173.4	163.8
Yields (gov 10yr)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

Bondia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-4.4	-1.0	1.7	2.1	2.1
Unemployment, %	22.8	23.9	23.9	23.8	23.6
CPI, % yoy	0.8	-0.3	0.2	0.7	1.1
Current account (% of GDP)	-6.9	-5.1	-4.1	-3.5	-3.0
Debt/GDP	176.7	181.1	177.4	170.7	161.5
Yields (gov 10yr)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

- More reflationary scenarios add as much as 3% to growth, while inflation generally remains subdued.
- Public debt-to-GDP ratio stays above 155% even in the most favourable scenario
- Current account improves by as much as 5%, but remains in deficit

Europhilia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.8	0.0	1.9	2.0	2.1
Unemployment, %	22.0	22.6	22.5	22.3	22.1
CPI, % yoy	0.9	-0.2	0.3	0.6	1.1
Current account (% of GDP)	-6.1	-4.5	-3.7	-3.2	-2.8
Debt/GDP	170.6	172.4	168.5	163.4	156.6
Yields (gov 10yr)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

Inflationia	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.2	1.3	2.0	1.8	1.7
Unemployment, %	21.7	21.9	21.6	21.5	21.3
CPI, % yoy	3.2	1.5	1.4	1.8	2.2
Current account (% of GDP)	-6.8	-5.9	-5.0	-4.5	-3.9
Debt/GDP	167.8	166.7	163.6	160.9	158.1
Yields (gov 10yr)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

Krugmania	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
GDP, % yoy	-1.1	0.6	2.0	1.9	2.0
Unemployment, %	21.7	22.0	21.8	21.6	21.4
CPI, % yoy	2.1	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.4
Current account (% of GDP)	-5.9	-4.4	-3.5	-3.0	-2.4
Debt/GDP	167.9	168.1	164.3	160.1	155.0
Yields (gov 10yr)	6.0	5.5	5.0	5.0	5.0

Greece: GDP and inflation



Greece: unemployment, current a/c and debt



Current account (% of GDP)



Debt-to-GDP ratio (%)



10yr government yield (%)



Annex B Methodology



EMU Survival: possible policy measures

We classified the policy measures along three dimensions: reform, reflation and redistribution. We performed a range of simulations on the Oxford Economics global economic model and compared the results reported from other large scale macro models, as well as the academic literature on specific measures. A series of 'ready-reckoners' for each set of policy measures was then produced, which then combined in an iterative fashion to produce the scenario simulations.

The table below lists the measures we studied and includes their operationalisation (for more detail see our previous report).

Reform measures	
Measure	Operationalisation
 Product and labour market reform (periphery) 	 12% 2 year consecutive wage cut
 Financial market reform (e.g. common bonds, DGS) 	 Credit conditions return to pre-crisis levels
 Public sector reform (e.g. welfare cutbacks) 	 Productivity gains from year 3
Reflationary measures	
Measure	Operationalisation
 Monetary policy (QE; interest rate reduction) 	 5% Eurozone GDP QE; up to 100 bp 3m rate decrease
 Exchange rate policy (depreciation) 	 up to 10% € depreciation [appreciation]
 Fiscal policy (e.g. tightening, stimulation) 	 up to 1% fiscal tightening [loosening]
Redistribution measures	
Measure	Operationalisation
 Fiscal transfers from core to periphery 	 1% GDP transfer core -> periphery (1.7%)
 Funding (common bonds) 	 Credit conditions to pre-crisis levels
 Default (i.e., 'forced' fiscal transfers) 	 N.a.(Austeria ignores debt relief for periphery, penalty to core)



Research analyst contacts

Developed Markets		Title	Telephone	Email
London	Mark Cliffe	Global Head of Financial Markets Research	+44 20 7767 6283	mark.cliffe@uk.ing.com
	Rob Carnell	Chief International Economist	+44 20 7767 6909	rob.carnell@uk.ing.com
	James Knightley	Senior Economist, UK, US \$ Bloc	+44 20 7767 6614	james.knightley@uk.ing.com
	Chris Turner	Head of Foreign Exchange Strategy	+44 20 7767 1610	christopher.turner@uk.ing.com
	Tom Levinson	Foreign Exchange Strategist	+44 20 7767 8057	tom.levinson@uk.ing.com
	Aengus McMahon	Senior Credit Analyst, High Yield	+44 20 7767 8044	aengus.mcmahon@uk.ing.com
Amsterdam	Maarten Leen	Head of Macro & Consumer Economics	+31 20 563 4406	maarten.leen@ing.nl
	Martin van Vliet	Senior Economist, Eurozone	+31 20 563 9528	martin.van.vliet@ing.nl
	Teunis Brosens	Senior Economist, US	+31 20 563 6167	teunis.brosens@ing.nl
	Dimitry Fleming	Senior Economist, Netherlands	+31 20 563 9497	dimitry.fleming@ing.nl
	Fabienne Fortanier	Senior Economist, Eurozone	+31 20 576 9450	fabienne.fortanier@ing.nl
	Padhraic Garvey	Global Head of Developed Markets Debt Strategy	+31 20 563 8955	padhraic.garvey@ingbank.com
	Jeroen van den Broek	Head of Developed Markets Credit Strategy	+31 20 563 8959	jeroen.van.den.broek@ingbank.com
	Maureen Schuller	Senior Credit Strategist	+31 20 563 8941	maureen.schuller@ingbank.com
	Alessandro Giansanti	Senior Rates Strategist	+31 20 563 8801	alessandro.giansanti@ingbank.com
	Job Veenendaal	Quantitative Strategist	+31 20 563 8956	job.veenendaal@ingbank.com
	Roelof-Jan van den Akker	Technical Analyst	+31 20 563 8178	roelof-jan.van.den.akker@ingbank.com
	Mark Harmer	Head of Developed Markets Credit Research	+31 20 563 8964	mark.harmer@ingbank.com
	Max Castle	Credit Analyst	+31 20 563 8815	max.castle@ingbank.com
	Malin Hedman	Credit Analyst	+31 20 563 8962	malin.hedman@ingbank.com
Brussels	Peter Vanden Houte	Chief Economist, Belgium, Eurozone	+32 2 547 8009	peter.vandenhoute@ing.be
	Carsten Brzeski	Senior Economist, Germany, Eurozone	+32 2 547 8652	carsten.brzeski@ing.be
	Manuel Maleki	Senior Economist, France	+32 2 547 3995	manuel.maleki@ing.be
	Julien Manceaux	Economist, Belgium, Switzerland	+32 2 547 3350	julien.manceaux@ing.be
	Philippe Ledent	Economist, Belgium	+32 2 547 3161	philippe.ledent@ing.be
Milan	Paolo Pizzoli	Senior Economist, EMU, Italy, Greece	+39 02 89629 3630	paolo.pizzoli@ing.it
Emerging Markets		Title	Telephone	Email
New York	H David Spegel	Global Head of Emerging Markets Strategy	+1 646 424 6464	david.spegel@americas.ing.com
	Gustavo Rangel	Chief Economist, Brazil, Argentina, Chile, Peru	+1 646 424 6465	gustavo.rangel@americas.ing.com
London	Simon Quijano-Evans	Head of Research & Chief Economist, EMEA	+44 20 7767 5310	simon.quijano@uk.ing.com
Bulgaria	Elena Ganeva	Economist, Bulgaria, Croatia	+359 2 917 6720	elena.ganeva@ingbank.com
Czech Rep	Vojtech Benda	Senior Economist, Czech Republic	+420 2 5747 4432	vojtech.benda@ing.cz
Hungary	David Nemeth	Senior Economist, Hungary	+36 1 255 5581	nemeth.david@ing.hu
India	Upasna Bhardwaj	Economist, India	+91 22 3309 5718	upasna.bhardwaj@ingvysyabank.com
Mexico	Debora Luna	Economist, Mexico	+52 55 5258 2095	debora.luna@americas.ing.com
	Ezequiel Garcia	Economist, Mexico	+52 55 5258 2064	ezequiel.garcia@americas.ing.com
Philippines	Joey Cuyegkeng	Economist, Philippines	+632 479 8855	joey.cuyegkeng@asia.ing.com
Poland	Mateusz Szczurek	Chief Economist, CEE	+48 22 820 4698	mateusz.szczurek@ingbank.pl
	Rafal Benecki	Chief Economist, Poland	+48 22 820 4696	rafal.benecki@ingbank.pl
	Grzegorz Ogonek	Economist, Poland	+48 22 820 4608	grzegorz.ogonek@ingbank.pl
Romania	Vlad Muscalu	Senior Economist, Romania	+40 21 209 1393	vlad.muscalu@ing.ro
Russia	Dmitry Polevoy	Economist, Russia & Kazakhstan	+7 495 771 7994	dmitry.polevoy@ingbank.com
	Egor Fedorov	Senior Credit Analyst	+7 495 755 5480	egor.fedorov@ingbank.com
Singapore	Tim Condon	Head of Research & Chief Economist, Asia	+65 6232 6020	tim.condon@asia.ing.com
	Prakash Sakpal	Economist, Asia	+65 6232 6181	prakash.sakpal@asia.ing.com
Slovakia	Eduard Hagara	Senior Economist, Slovakia	+421 2 5934 6392	eduard.hagara@ing.sk
Turkey	Sengül Dağdeviren	Head of Research & Chief Economist, Turkey	+90 212 329 0752	sengul.dagdeviren@ingbank.com.tr
-	Muhammet Mercan	Senior Economist, Turkey	+90 212 329 0751	muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr
	Ömer Zeybek	Economist, Turkey	+90 212 329 0753	omer.zeybek@ingbank.com.tr
Ukraine	•			
onnanno	Alexander Pecherytsyn	Head of Research, Ukraine	+38 044 230 3017	alexander.pecherytsyn@ingbank.com



Disclosures and disclaimer

ANALYST CERTIFICATION

The analyst(s) who prepared this presentation hereby certifies that the views expressed in this presentation accurately reflect his/her personal views about the subject securities or issuers and no part of his/her compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the inclusion of specific recommendations or views in this report.

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

Company disclosures are available from the disclosures page on our website at http://research.ing.com.

The remuneration of research analysts is not tied to specific investment banking transactions performed by ING Group although it is based in part on overall revenues, to which investment banking contribute.

Securities prices: Prices are taken as of the previous day's close on the home market unless otherwise stated.

Conflicts of interest policy. ING manages conflicts of interest arising as a result of the preparation and publication of research through its use of internal databases, notifications by the relevant employees and Chinese walls as monitored by ING Compliance. For further details see our research policies page at http://research.ing.com.

FOREIGN AFFILIATES DISCLOSURES

Each ING legal entity which produces research is a subsidiary, branch or affiliate of ING Bank N.V. See the disclosures pages on our website at http://research.ing.com for the addresses and primary securities regulator for each of these entities.

DISCLAIMER

This presentation has been prepared on behalf of ING (being for this purpose the wholesale and investment banking business of ING Bank NV and certain of its subsidiary companies) solely for the information of its clients. ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Groep NV and its subsidiary and affiliated companies). It is not investment advice or an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any financial instrument. While reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the information contained herein is not untrue or misleading at the time of publication. ING makes no representation that it is accurate or complete. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. ING Group and any of its officers, employees. related and discretionary accounts may, to the extent not disclosed above and to the extent permitted by law, have long or short positions or may otherwise be interested in any transactions or investments (including derivatives) referred to in this presentation. In addition, ING Group may provide banking, insurance or asset management services for, or solicit such business from, any company referred to in this presentation. Neither ING Group nor any of its officers or employees accepts any liability for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this presentation or its contents. Copyright and database rights protection exists in this presentation and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. Any investments referred to herein may involve significant risk, are not necessarily available in all jurisdictions, may be illiquid and may not be suitable for all investors. The value of, or income from, any investments referred to herein may fluctuate and/or be affected by changes in exchange rates. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investors should make their own investigations and investment decisions without relying on this presentation. Only investors with sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to evaluate the merits and risks should consider an investment in any issuer or market discussed herein and other persons should not take any action on the basis of this presentation. This presentation is issued: 1) in the United Kingdom only to persons described in Articles 19, 47 and 49 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 and is not intended to be distributed, directly or indirectly, to any other class of persons (including private investors); 2) in Italy only to persons described in Article No. 31 of Consob Regulation No. 11522/98. Clients should contact analysts at, and execute transactions through, an ING entity in their home jurisdiction unless governing law permits otherwise. ING Bank N.V. London Branch is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank. It is incorporated in the Netherlands and its London Branch is registered in the UK (number BR000341) at 60 London Wall, London EC2M 5TQ. ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this presentation in the United States under applicable requirements. ING Vysya Bank Ltd is responsible for the distribution of this presentation in India.